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**Abstract:** The Passifloraceae family is extensively used in native Brazilian folk medicine to treat a wide variety of diseases. The problem of flavonoid extraction from *Passiflora* was treated by application of design of experiments (DOE), as an experiment with mixture including one categorical process variable. The components of the binary mixture were: ethanol (component A) and water (component B); the categorical process variable: extraction method (factor C) was varied at two levels: (+1) maceration and (-1) percolation. ANOVA suggested a cubic model for *P. edulis* extraction and a quadratic model for *P. alata*. These results indicate that the proportion of components A and B in the mixture is the main factor involved in significantly increasing flavonoid extraction. In regard to the extraction methods, no important differences were observed, which indicates that these two traditional extraction methods could be effectively used to extract flavonoids from both medicinal plants. The evaluation of antioxidant activity of the extract by ORAC method showed that *P. edulis* displays twice as much antioxidant activity as *P. alata*. Considering that maceration is a simple, rapid and environmentally friendly extraction method, in this study, the optimized conditions for flavonoid extraction from these *Passiflora* species is maceration with 75% ethanol for *P. edulis* and 50% ethanol for *P. alata*.
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**Introduction**

The Passifloraceae family is distinguished from other plants used in native Brazilian folk medicine due to its extensive use in the treatment of a wide variety of diseases. The *Passiflora* genus is comprised of about 400 species and is the most important genus in this family. Passifloraceae is represented by approximately twenty genera and 600 species that are distributed mainly in tropical and subtropical regions, many in Brazil. There are four genera (*Ancistrothyrsus* Harms, *Dilkea* Mast., *Mitostemma* Mast., *Passiflora* L.) localized to Brazil (Cervi et al., 2010), including about 137 species and a wide geographical distribution; about 88 species are native to Brazil. Most of these species are related to the *Passiflora* genus, and products derived from these plants are internationally recognized as herbal medicines (Carlini, 2003).

In Brazil, species of the *Passiflora* genus are known as “maracujá”. *Passiflora alata* Curtis and *Passiflora edulis* Sims are the “passion fruit” species currently cultivated at a commercial scale. They are mainly produced in the states of Bahia and São Paulo, and their fruit is consumed *in natura* or in juices and ice creams (Veras et al., 2000; Petry et al., 2001, IBGE, 2008). These species are used in several pharmaceutical preparations that are registered by the Brazilian regulatory agency “Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária”.

In 1788, *P. alata*, which is native to Brazil, was originally described as *Passiflora alata* Curtis by the Botanical Magazine (Curtis, 1788; Bernacci et al., 2003). This species is cited in four editions of the Brazilian Pharmacopeia (Pharmacopeia dos Estados Unidos do Brasil, 1926; Farmacopéia dos Estados Unidos do Brasil, 1959; Farmacopéia Brasileira, 1977; 2010). Recently, a bibliographic review of *P. alata* was reported by Noriega et al. (2011). *Passiflora edulis* has several common names, such as sour passion fruit. At the taxonomic level, *Passiflora edulis* Sims must be used for any plant and color of sour passion fruits (Bernacci et al., 2008).
Applying design of experiments (DOE) to flavonoid extraction from *Passiflora alata* and *P. edulis*

Peky Noriega et al.

In the past decade, many Brazilian researchers have investigated the phytochemistry and pharmacology of *P. alata* and *P. edulis* leaves. It was reported that both leaves extracts present expressive amount of flavonoids (Barbosa et al., 2008), which could explain their antioxidant activity *in vitro*, *ex vivo* (Rudnicki et al., 2007a) and hepatoprotective effects described (Rudnicki et al., 2007b). More recently, Ripa et al. (2009) verified the antioxidant, antibacterial and cytotoxic activities of *P. edulis*. Oxidative stress impairs the homeostasis and leads to excessive reactive oxygen/nitrogen species production. Therefore researchers are focused on antioxidants that may help to reduce oxidative stress level and to treat diseases where overproduction of those species are observed (Huk-Colega, 2011).

The first report of the pharmacological activity of *P. alata* came from Oga et al. (1984). They found that an extract of *P. alata* leaves increased the induction of sleep by pentobarbital in rats (Oga et al., 1984). The anxiolytic activity of extracts of *P. alata* and *P. edulis* leaves was evaluated using the elevated plus-maze test (Petry et al., 2001; de Paris et al., 2002; Reginatto et al., 2006).

The use of *P. edulis* leaves extract resulted in improved wound healing (Gonçalves-Filho et al., 2006; Gomes et al., 2006) and the inhibition of parasite growth (Bezerra et al., 2006). The aqueous extract of the root was effective against three types of viruses (Müller et al., 2007). The mechanism of the anti-inflammatory effect of aqueous lyophilized extract obtained from leaves of *P. edulis* in the mouse model of pleurisy was investigated by Montanher et al. (2007). The antiulcer activity of *P. alata* was evaluated at doses of 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of extract (Wasicky, 2007).

Pharmaceutical processes were investigated by Runha et al. (2001). In this study, a process was developed for the production of dry passion flower extract using spouted beds as drying equipment. In another study, the performance of the spray and spouted bed dryer, and the physicochemical properties of the products that resulted from the drying of the hydro-alcoholic extracts of *P. alata* were comparatively evaluated by Oliveira et al. (2006). Linden et al. (2000) described the formulation of tablets containing high proportions of spray-dried extracts (SDE) from *P. edulis* leaves.

The design of experiments (DOE) methodology offers the maximum return in terms of information about the interplay of multiple factors while requiring the minimum investment (Martinello et al., 2006; Noriega et al., 2008).

In recent years, interest in herbal medicinal products, especially in the field of dermatology and cosmetics, has risen enormously. Modern phytopharmaceuticals as well as phytocosmetics require standardized defined extracts from the herbal matrix.

Several researchers have studied the extraction process applied to medicinal plants. The purpose of these studies has been the development of an adequate methodology to evaluate the influence of extractive parameters on active markers contents obtained from herbal drugs (Liu et al., 2000; Hinneburg & Neubert, 2005; Noriega et al., 2005; Souza et al., 2007; Silva et al., 2009).

The objective of this paper was to evaluate, by determination of total flavonoid content, the influence of ethanol concentration and extraction methods (maceration versus percolation) on the preparation of *Passiflora alata* Curtis and *Passiflora edulis* Sims extracts. The results were maximized using the design of experiments (DOE) and the samples with the highest flavonoid content were submitted to ORAC assay to determine their antioxidant activity.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents

All chemicals were of analytical reagent-grade quality, and the anhydrous rutin was acquired from Sigma Aldrich®. Ethanol, methanol, acetic acid and anhydrous aluminum trichloride were purchased from Labsynth, Brazil. Bidistilled water was used for all experiments.

Plant material

Leaves from *Passiflora edulis* Sims and *P. alata* Curtis, Passifloraceae, were provided by the Instituto Agronômico de Campinas from a BAG (bank active germplasm) located in Monte Alegre do Sul, SP (750 m high) collected in February 2010. Two exsiccates deposited in the herbarium of IAC, *Instituto Agronômico*, under nº 37119 and nº 52798 for *P. edulis* and *P. alata* Curtis, respectively.

Passiflora extracts preparation

The aerial parts from the two *Passiflora* species were processed separately. Figure 1 illustrates the processes as a flowchart. The leaves were washed, cut and dried in a stove with circulating air at a temperature below 50 °C for 72 h. The dried leaves were then triturated in a hammer mill (Thomas, PA, USA) to obtain particles between 0.5 and 1.0 mm. Extractions were carried out using a ratio of 1:10 for plant to solvent mass at room temperature. The alcohol of the solutions was evaporated under a reduced pressure in a Büchi rotary evaporator (model B-480) at 50 °C. The remaining aqueous extracted material was lyophilized in an Edwards lyophilizer (Brazil) at 0.9 mbar (-40 °C). The total flavonoids content of each extract (5 and 2.5 mg/mL) compared to a compensation solution...
were measured spectrophotometrically in triplicate at 427 nm based upon the formation of a complex with 2% AlCl₃ (Pozzi, 2007; Chabariberi et al., 2009).

**Experimental design and statistical analysis**

Experiments where the factors are the ingredients or the components of a mixture are called mixture experiments (ME). In mixture experiments, the choice of component levels is not independent. The response is assumed to depend only on the relative proportions of the components rather than the total amount in the mixture. In the standard mixture designs, the proportions of the ingredients can vary between 0 and 1 and must sum up to unity. (Cornell, 2002; Adeyeye, 2008).

In certain situations, there may be factors other than the mixture components to affect the characteristics of the mixture. These factors are called process variables, which are frequently included in the experiment as factorial designs. Therefore, it is of interest to determine not only the optimum mixture component proportions, but also the process variables’ optimum levels. In this context, the experiments conducted are called mixture-process experiments (MPE). (Cornell, 1990; Dal Bello & Viera, 2011)

The design of experiments (DOE) and statistical analysis were performed employing Design Expert software (Version 8, 2010, Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA). This software offers the option of planning ME including process variables. Factors and levels were selected on the basis of the extraction date reported in the literature and based on preliminary studies carried out before implementing the experimental design.

The problem of flavonoid extraction from *Passiflora* was treated as an experiment with mixture including one categorical process variable. The components of binary mixture are: ethanol (component A) and water (component B); the categorical process variable: extraction method (factor C) was varied at two levels: (+1) maceration and (-1) percolation. All other processing variables were kept invariant throughout the study. The dependent variable (response) was total flavonoid content for both species. The experiment was performed with replications on the central point, the lowest level and the highest level. The experimental matrix was designed and tested by us and suggested a quadratic model with fourteen runs. The general combined model with the inclusion of process variables is represented in a matrix form as Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Component A: Ethanol</th>
<th>Component B: Water</th>
<th>Blend Type</th>
<th>Factor C: Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Binary</td>
<td>Percolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>Maceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Maceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Maceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Binary</td>
<td>Maceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Percolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Percolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Percolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Maceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>Percolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Maceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Percolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>Percolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>Maceration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation of spectrophotometric methodology for quantification of total flavonoids (rutin)**

The use of markers in the quality control of several medicinal plants has become essential for the standardization of analytical methods, especially in the quantification of plant constituents. Rutin is a bioflavonoid that occurs in many plants and is known for its antioxidant activity. It is one of the substances most used as marker in spectrophotometry because of its low price and wide availability. However, there are several publications that use different types of rutin solutions, and there is no agreement on a standard rutin.

To evaluate the influence of the type and concentration of alcohol on flavonoid content quantification, a similar experimental matrix was performed (Table 1 and Figure 2) and augmented with two central points (16 runs). The components of binary mixture are: alcohol (component A) and water (component B); the categorical process variable: type of solvent (factor C) was varied at two levels: (+1) methanol
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and (-1) ethanol. The dependent variable (response) was the absorbance of 16 the rutin solutions (0.5 mg/mL).

**Total flavonoid content**

Pozzi (2007) and Chabariberi et al. (2009) reported on a modification of the spectrometric procedures originally described in the French Pharmacopoeia for the analysis of *Passiflora incarnata* L., Passifloraceae, flavonoids and proposed its application in the determination of total flavonoids from *P. edulis* and *P. alata* leaves. In these studies, the results obtained by a spectrometric procedure were compared to those obtained by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-UV). The authors demonstrated complete compatibility between the modified French Pharmacopoeia (spectrometric) and HPLC-UV methods (Pozzi, 2007; Chabariberi et al., 2009).

On the other hand, in our laboratory, we adapted this spectrometric method to a total volume of 250 µL. Each one of the extracts was quantified in solutions of 5 mg/mL and 2.5 mg/mL against a compensation solution in triplicate. Briefly, stock solution (SS) was made with *Passiflora* extracts in 50% ethanol (EtOH). A volume of 20 µL of 2% AlCl₃ (w/v) in ethanol was added to 20 µL of SS; the volume was increased to 250 µL using an ethanolic solution of 0.5% acetic acid (v/v) (probe solution (PS)). At the same time, 20 µL of SS were brought to a volume of 250 µL with a methanol/acetic acid solution (contrast solution (CS)). The absorbance of PS against CS was measured at 427 nm after 30 min using a microplate Synergy-BioTek Reader. The result, expressed as the percentage of total flavonoids content (TFC), was calculated as rutin using the calibration curve equation. The results represent the average of three determinations.

**Antioxidant activity using the ORAC method**

We evaluated the antioxidant activities of the *Passiflora* extracts with the highest flavonoids content. In ORAC methodology, an azo initiator (AAPH - 2,2’-azobis(2-amidino-propane) dihydrochloride) at 37 °C abstracts hydrogen from sodium fluorescein, which reduces fluorescence. In the presence of an antioxidant, the fluorescence decrease is inhibited.

Briefly, an extract was added to hexane, mixed for 2 min. and centrifuged at 2000 x g for 3 min. One milliliter of the organic (hexane) layer was removed and dried under flowing nitrogen for the lipophilic ORAC assay. The dried material was dissolved in acetone and diluted with 7% RMCD (randomly methylated β-cyclodextrin) solution (50% acetone/50% water, v/v). For the hydrophilic assay, the remaining extract was dissolved in 50% ethanol and diluted with 75 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0).

In a 96-well microplate, 25 µL of the diluted sample or standard (Trolox®) was combined with 150 µL of fluorescein solution (40 nM in 75 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0). The microplate was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 25 µL of AAPH (153 mM in 75 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0) followed by shaking at maximum intensity for 10 s.

Fluorescence was monitored kinetically, with data collected every minute. A Synergy™ HT Multi-Detection Microplate Reader (Winooski, VT, USA) was used with a 485 nm/20 nm bandpass excitation filter and a 528 nm/20 nm bandpass emission filter. Fluorescence was measured from the bottom at a sensitivity setting of 60 (Ou et al., 2001; Prior et al., 2003).

**Results and Discussion**

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest worldwide in the use of alternative/herbal medicines for the prevention and treatment of various illnesses. However, quality-related problems (lack of consistency, safety and efficacy) seem to be overshadowing the potential genuine health benefits of various herbal products (Hui, 2002). Modern phytopharmaceutics require standardized, defined extracts from the herbal matrix. The extraction procedure is important because the extraction conditions determine the quality and the yield of the individual constituents (Hinneburg & Neubert, 2005). Selection of an appropriate extraction method will depend upon the type of herb and compounds, the amount of herbal material available and the amount of compound required (Wang et al., 2003).

**Evaluation of a spectrophotometric methodology for quantification of total flavonoids (rutin)**

The use of validated methods in the chemical standardization of botanicals and herbal preparations will enhance the quality of the products, assist in pharmacological studies, perform credible clinical trials and propel the move towards evidence-based medicine (Ong, 2004). The results of the experiments were interpreted using Design Expert software (Version 8, 2010, Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA). Statistical analysis of the data suggested a model significant with model F-value of 22.06, p-value <0.0001 and R-Squared = 0.8465. In this case Linear Mixture Components, AC are significant model terms. The main effects and interactions are displayed as polynomial equations 1a and 1b:

Final equation in terms of actual components and actual factors:
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Rutin absorbance in methanol = +0.66* Alcohol (%) -0.15* Water (%) Eq. (1a)

Rutin absorbance in ethanol = +0.28* Alcohol (%) +0.16* Water (%) Eq. (1b)

In the equations, the high coefficient for methanol (0.66) indicates that a higher methanol proportion increased the absorption values from the rutin solutions, whereas the absorption values remained practically unaltered with larger ethanol proportions (coefficient 0.28). These results could be correlated with the solubility studies reported by Zi et al. (2007) and Peng (2009). By a saturation method, these authors determined the solubilities of rutin in water, methanol and ethanol, which are typically used in laboratories and related industries.

Calibration curve gave the equation (r² = 0.995):

Absorbance = 0.001*Concentration – 0.030 Eq. (2)

Experimental design and statistical analysis of the *Passiflora* extract preparation

The aim of this paper was to systematically evaluate the influence of extraction parameters on the extract quality. Formulations of Mixture Experiments (ME) are commonly found in chemical, pharmaceutical and food industries, as well as in other industrial segments. Therefore, a mixture-process experiments (MPE) design was used. In terms of yield, the components of binary mixture are: ethanol (component A) and water (component B) is the most important factors that influence extraction efficacy. No important differences were observed for the categorical process variable: extraction method (factor C).

Table 2 summarizes the results of the response studied from fourteen extractions.

### Table 2. Results of *Passiflora* extraction design of experiments (DOE) matrix measured by total flavonoids content (TFC), expressed as µg/mL and (%w/w) related to the dried plant material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th><em>Passiflora alata</em></th>
<th><em>Passiflora edulis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TFC (µg/mL)</td>
<td>TFC (%w/w)</td>
<td>TFC (µg/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50 (P)</td>
<td>110.5±0.008</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 (M)</td>
<td>204.5±0.011</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100 (M)</td>
<td>233.5±0.024</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (M)</td>
<td>211.5±0.022</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50 (M)</td>
<td>144.5±0.025</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 (P)</td>
<td>118.5±0.048</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100 (P)</td>
<td>168.5±0.037</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (P)</td>
<td>137.5±0.023</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100 (M)</td>
<td>210.5±0.02</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>25 (M)</td>
<td>177.5±0.02</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 (M)</td>
<td>172.5±0.005</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>100 (P)</td>
<td>160.5±0.028</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>75 (P)</td>
<td>226.5±0.014</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>25 (M)</td>
<td>214.5±0.008</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables: Percent of ethanol: 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 (%). Extraction Method: (P) Percolation and (M) Maceration. TFC: Total Flavonoids Content. SD: Standard Deviation.
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The equations are shown separately for each method, Eq. (3.1) and Eq. (3.2).

Final equation in terms of actual components and actual factors:

**Extraction method: maceration.** *P. alata*: Total flavonoids (mg/mL) = +186.43*A% + 113.09*B% + 275.48*A%*B%  
Eq. (3.1)  

A: ethanol; B: water

**Extraction method: percolation.** *P. alata*: Total flavonoids (mg/mL) = +189.56*A% + 138.22*B% + 197.22*A%*B%  
Eq. (3.2)  

A: ethanol; B: water

These equations and the graphical results indicate that proportion of components A and B in mixture is the main factor involved in significantly increasing flavonoid extraction. In general terms, increasing the ethanol proportion between 50-75% in the binary mixture of ethanol and water, the flavonoids extraction is significantly increased.

**Passiflora edulis Sim**

ANOVA suggested a cubic model, with a sequential p-value < 0.0001 and R-Squared = 0.9819 (Table 4). The results of the extraction total flavonoids (TF) concentration using experiment with mixture including a categorical process variable: extraction method are displayed as a mathematical model (Eqs. 4.1 and 4.2) and in a graphical form (Figure 3).

The Model F-value of 100.56 implies the model is significant. There is only a 0.01% chance that a "Model F-Value" this large could occur due to noise. Values of "Prob > F" less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case Linear Mixture Components, AB, AB(A-B) are significant model terms. Factor C is not

### Table 3. ANOVA for Combined Linear x Main effects Model for *Passiflora alata* extraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Prob &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>18032.39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3606.48</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Mixture</td>
<td>8742.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8742.25</td>
<td>24.12</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>8603.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8603.48</td>
<td>23.74</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.8693</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>673.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>673.86</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.2098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>235.83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>235.83</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.4432</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>2899.04</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>362.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Fit</td>
<td>736.54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>184.14</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.8392</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>2162.50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>540.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>20931.43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Graphical result of the matrix DOE for *Passiflora alata* percolation extraction.
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significant.

Final equation in terms of actual components and actual factors:

**Extraction method: maceration.** *P. edulis*: Total flavonoids (mg/mL) = $+287.78 \times A\% + 142.43 \times B\% + 501.05 \times A\% \times B\% + 1003.93 \times A\% \times B\% \times (A\% - B\%)$  
Eq. (4.1)

A: ethanol; B: water

**Extraction method: percolation.** *P. edulis*: Total flavonoids (mg/mL) = $+293.069 \times A\% + 168.97 \times B\% + 396.43 \times A\% \times B\% + 1003.93 \times A\% \times B\% \times (A\% - B\%)$  
Eq. (4.2)

A: ethanol; B: water

The cubic model suggested for the *Passiflora edulis* Sim flavonoid extraction Eqs. (4.1 and 4.2) and Figure 3 is a bit more complicated than the *Passiflora alata* Curtis quadratic model. In general terms, increasing the ethanol proportion until of ethanol 75% in the binary mixture, the flavonoids extraction is significantly increased. However, flavonoids extraction decreased when 100% ethanol was used.

ANOVA indicated no effect due to the extraction method used in the flavonoid extraction process for both species. Therefore, the results obtained indicated that these two traditional extraction methods could effectively extract flavonoids from both medicinal plants. This phenomenon could be explained by solvent selectivity and solvent saturation of compounds from vegetal drugs. These results confirm the necessity of standardization for plant material. For this reason, the *Passiflora* samples were cultivated, identified and provided by the Instituto Agronômico de Campinas from a BAG (bank active germplasm).

There were significant differences in flavonoids extraction between the two *Passiflora* species studied, and the differences depended on the ethanol concentration.

Table 4. ANOVA for Combined Linear x Main effects Model for *Passiflora edulis* extraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Prob &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1.340.105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19136.67</td>
<td>100.56</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Mixture</td>
<td>70136.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70136.69</td>
<td>368.55</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>31014.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31014.48</td>
<td>162.97</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>28.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.7137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>709.41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>709.41</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.1017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>421.49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>421.49</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.1873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB(A-B)</td>
<td>31496.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31496.32</td>
<td>165.50</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC(A-B)</td>
<td>265.65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>265.65</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.2821</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>1141.83</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>190.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Fit</td>
<td>352.67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>176.33</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.4777</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>789.17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>197.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>1.351.105</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31496.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Graphical result of the matrix DOE for *Passiflora edulis* maceration extraction.
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These results corroborate the assertion of Wang et al. (2003). These authors claim the chemical natures of herb constituents differ considerably between species. The same herbal extracts may vary depending on harvest season, plant origin, drying process and other factors. To illustrate the interpretation of extraction models for *P. alata* (quadratic) and *P. edulis* (cubic), we used a simplified graph for both models on the same scale for the extraction method by maceration (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Schematic and comparative representation of the DOE graphical results for flavonoids maceration extraction from *P. alata* and *P. edulis.*

Taking flavonoid solubility into account, the alcohol percentage was varied from 0 to 100%. As illustrated in Figure 4, the flavonoid content was similar for both species at lower ethanol concentrations (0-25%). Between 50 and 75%, flavonoid extraction increased significantly when the ethanol proportion was increased for *P. edulis*, but remain practically unaltered for *P. alata*. Maximum flavonoid extraction was achieved with 75% ethanol for *P. edulis*. At this concentration, evaluation of the flavonoid content indicated that the *P. edulis* extract (9.14% w/w) contained twice the flavonoid content of *P. alata* (4.21% w/w). These results have been corroborated by Petry et al. (2001) and De Paris et al. (2002). On the other hand, a significant decrease in flavonoids extraction was observed when increasing to 100% ethanol for *P. alata*. *P. edulis* also displayed a significant diminution of flavonoids extraction. However, extraction from *P. edulis* was higher than *P. alata* at the same ethanol concentration.

### Antioxidant activity using the ORAC method

Flavonoids are used for the prevention and cure of various diseases which is mainly associated with free radicals. Flavonoids acts as an antioxidant in biological systems and scavenge the free radicals thereby increasing the antioxidant defence in the body. The *Passiflora* extracts with highest flavonoids content (*P. edulis* at 75% ethanol and *P. alata* at 50% ethanol) were evaluated for antioxidant capacity by the ORAC method, the results are displayed in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Antioxidant activity (µmol eq. Trolox/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Passiflora alata</em> (hydrophilic fraction)</td>
<td>1109±62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Passiflora alata</em> (lipophilic fraction)</td>
<td>below the limit of detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Passiflora edulis</em> (hydrophilic fraction)</td>
<td>1971±113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Passiflora edulis</em> (lipophilic fraction)</td>
<td>63±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, it was possible to verify that *P. edulis* was almost twice more active than *P. alata*, being these values considered moderate compared to other extracts described in the literature (Ou et al., 2001) and to Trolox standard (4000 µmol/g).

Considering the flavonoids concentration found to the extracts, we can correlate the better antioxidant activity with the highest content of rutin (TFC) in *P. edulis* extract (9.14% w/w). This finding corroborates the results presented by Barbosa et al., 2008.

As far as we know this is the first report of antioxidant activity of *P. alata* and *P. edulis* leaves extract by ORAC method. The comparison between the data from other methods previously reported is not possible because the values of the reference substance were not clearly presented or were absent (Rudnicki et al., 2007a; Ferreres et al., 2007).

### Conclusions

The use of design of experiments (DOE) in extraction of plant material will play a central role in the development and modernization of processes for preparations of standardized phytotherapics. In our study, the experimental design conducted is called mixture-process experiments (MPE), the efficiencies of two extraction methods used in phytotherapy medicine (percolation and maceration) and binary solvent mixtures of ethanol-water concentrations were evaluated for flavonoids extractions from *Passiflora alata* Curtis and *Passiflora edulis* Sims.

The results obtained indicated that these two traditional extraction methods could effectively extract antioxidants flavonoids from medicinal plants. We recommended the use of maceration because is a simple, rapid and environmentally friendly extraction method.

In regard to influence of mixture (ethanol-water), ANOVA suggest a cubic model for *P. edulis* extraction and a quadratic model for *P. alata*. These
results indicated that proportion of components ethanol and water in mixture is the main factor involved in significantly increasing flavonoid extraction.

The evaluation of antioxidant activity of the extract by ORAC method showed that *P. edulis* displays twice the antioxidant activity than *P. alata*. The optimized conditions for flavonoid extraction from these *Passiflora* species is maceration with 75% ethanol for *P. edulis* and 50% ethanol for *P. alata*. In this context, mixture-process experiments (MPE) can be extended to evaluation of the extraction process of others medicinal plants.
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